

EVALUATION SHEET
EVALUATION AND PLANNING WORKSHOP SC 4.5, KHARKOV, JULY 1ST, 2010

Name of the consultant / facilitator: Dr. Karl Christian Göthner

#		Talat MUSTAFAYEV AZERBAIJAN	Vahan SAHAKYAN, ARMENIA	Narine OGANYAN, ARMENIA	Viktoriya KAZIYEVA, GEORGIA	Annette KÖGLER, GERMANY	V. G. WIPPLINGER, GERMANY	Julien BIÈRE, GERMANY	Dauren SHARIPOV, KAZAKHSTAN	Karligash SATTIBAYEVA, KAZAKHSTAN	Marina GAVRILOVICH, MOLDOVA	Viktor IVANOV, RUSSIA	Sergey KOROSTIN, RUSSIA	Farfhod SHUKUROV, TAJKIKISTAN	Firdavs KARIMOV, TAJKIKISTAN	Ortagoli HAKIMOV, UZBEKISTAN	Makhmud KAYUMOV, UZBEKISTAN	Pavlo NEYEZHIMAKOV, UKRAINE	Yuliya BUNYAYEVA, UKRAINE	Average
	1. How do you evaluate the results of the evaluation and planning workshop?																			
1.		1	1	1	1	2	2	1	1	1	1	1	2	1	2	2	2	1	1	1.3
	2. How do you evaluate the sequence of the workshop?																			
2.		1	1	1	1	3	2	2	1	1	2	1	2	1	2	2	2	1	1	1.5
	3. How do you evaluate the moderation?																			
3.		1	1	1	1	2	2	1	1	1	1	1	2	1	2	2	2	1	1	1.3
	4. Was the local environment adequate to the requirements of the workshop/seminar/group training?																			
1	Seminar room	1	1	1	1	2	2	3	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	3	1	2	1.8
2	Technical equipment	1	1	1	1	3	-	3	1	1	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	1	2	1.7
3	Provisions	1	1	1	1	3	2	3	1	1	1	2	2	2	1	2	1	2	2	1.6
4	Accommodations	3	1	1	2	3	2	2	2	1	1	2	2	2	2	2	4	-	2	2.0
	5. Will the results of the workshop help your institution in strengthening metrology in your country?																			
1		1	2	2	1	-	-	-	1	2	1	2	-	1	2	2	2	1	1	1.5

1. How do you evaluate the results of the evaluation and planning workshop?

a. What are the main results of the workshop in your opinion?

#	Country	Proposals
1	Talat MUSTAFAYEV, AZERBAIJAN	Clear understanding of the project objectives. Useful information
2	Vahan SAHAKYAN, ARMENIA	Participation of all the attendees of the workshop in the discussion of the problem
3	Narine OGANYAN, ARMENIA	Fits the real needs at its best
4	Viktoriya KAZIYEVA, GEORGIA	Overview of the first phase activities in detail. Settlement of disputable issues that have not been active for the last year. Concrete steps in planning the second phase taking into account opinions of all the interested parties
5	Annette KÖGLER, GERMANY	Working directions for the next years. Confirmation of results of the first project phase. Very active participation of participants
6	V. G. WIPPLINGER, GERMANY	Good feedback from all (almost all) participants. Complete consensus in interpreting the requirements and potential solutions. Good base for planning for the next three years
7	Julien BIÈRE, GERMANY	Well structured review of project phase 1 Realistic and well thought-out and debated recommendations to the next phase
8	Dauren SHARIPOV, KAZAKHSTAN	Raising the awareness of the participants about the results of the actions performed. Elaboration of proposals for building up the plan
9	Karligash SATTIBAYEVA, KAZAKHSTAN	Development of clear and concrete decisions. Very interesting and creative way of thinking. Creation of command spirit, strengthening of cooperation between participants
10	Marina GAVRILOVICH, MOLDOVA	Formation of a basis for planning the 2 nd project phase. Participation of all the attendees in the discussion. Clarification the basic directions and issues
11	Viktor IVANOV, RUSSIA	Participation of the attendees in identifying the issues and planning the prospects
12	Sergey KOROSTIN, RUSSIA	Determination of the main task for the next activity period
13	Farfhod SHUKUROV, TAJKISTAN	The main result of the meeting is the elaboration of a strategy for further development that takes into account the interests of all the member countries
14	Firdavs KARIMOV, TAJKISTAN	This is important that you evaluated our opinion
15	Ortagoli HAKIMOV, UZBEKISTAN	The first phase of the PTB-COOMET project has been summed up
16	Makhmud KAYUMOV, UZBEKISTAN	Planned comparisons and training
17	Pavlo NEYEZHMAKOV, UKRAINE	All the participants got an understanding of the objectives of the project.
18	Yuliya BUNYAYEVA, UKRAINE	Identification of the needs of NMIs from COOMET member countries. Determination of further steps of the project implementation

b. What was missing in your opinion?

#	Country	Proposals
1	V. G. WIPPLINGER, GERMANY	Not all the participants sat out the meeting
2	Ortagoli HAKIMOV, UZBEKISTAN	Nothing
3	Annette KÖGLER, GERMANY	Some people

2. How do you evaluate the sequence of the workshop?

a. What should be changed in another occasion?

#	Country	Proposals
1	Julien BIERE, GERMANY	One hour per day for face-to-face or small group discussions, i.e. a bit less plenary session
2	Karligash SATTIBAYEVA, KAZAKHSTAN	In my opinion the workshop was organized in an optimal way
3	Makhmud KAYUMOV, UZBEKISTAN	To decrease the duration of the workshop
2	Pavlo NEYEZHMAKOV, UKRAINE	Nothing should be changed

3. How do you evaluate the moderation?

a. What should be improved in another occasion?

#	Country	Proposals
1	Viktoriya KAZIYEVA, GEORGIA	Probably a poll form for some disputable questions
2	Julien BIERE, GERMANY	Ad-hoc use of whiteboard for visualization of topics, relations, concepts etc. by the participants and speakers
3	Viktor IVANOV, RUSSIA	The Russian language should be improved
4	Makhmud KAYUMOV, UZBEKISTAN	Participation of all those interested

4. Was the local environment adequate to the requirements of the workshop/seminar/group training?

Recommendations:

#	Country	Proposals
1	Viktoriya KAZIYEVA, GEORGIA	No obvious recommendations
2	Annette KÖGLER, GERMANY	More and better Internet connections for participants
3	V. G. WIPPLINGER, GERMANY	The technical equipment was used insufficiently. Good preparation of the documentation and coffee breaks

5. Will the results of the workshop help your institution in strengthening metrology in your country?

a. In which aspects was the workshop specially helpful?

#	Country	Proposals
1	Talat MUSTAFAYEV, AZERBAIJAN	Carrying out comparisons; training on how to carry out such comparisons
2	Vahan SAHAKYAN, ARMENIA	Use of the methodology for making a survey at our institute
3	Narine OGANYAN, ARMENIA	It is possible to use this methodology for making a survey at our institute
4	Viktoriya KAZIYEVA, GEORGIA	Planning of activities in CMC developing and submitting. Results of seminars conducted. Decision on web-portal launch
5	Annette KÖGLER, GERMANY	Training of real discussion without quarrelling Forming working community

6	Dauren SHARIPOV, KAZAKHSTAN	The workshop was useful with regard to raising the awareness about the results of the project implementation and with regard to the possibility of participating in the planning for the next project phase
7	Karligash SATTIBAYEVA, KAZAKHSTAN	In understanding of goals and means of the project. In organization of training and practice on job. As an experience of effective approach to solving different tasks
8	Marina GAVRILOVICH, MOLDOVA	The method of conducting
9	Farfhod SHUKUROV, TAJIKISTAN	Provided that the adopted programs are implemented this will be of benefit to the organization we represent with regard to training as well as this will raise the efficiency of the specialists
10	Makhmud KAYUMOV, UZBEKISTAN	Exchange of experience
11	Pavlo NEYEZHMAKOV, UKRAINE	Detailed elaboration of the issues of the NMIs from Central Asian and Caucasian regions
12.	Yuliya BUNYAYEVA, UKRAINE	Informational support

b. What should be improved in another occasion?

1	Annette KÖGLER, GERMANY	More and better internet connections for participants
---	------------------------------------	---

6. How will you disseminate the results of the workshop in your institution?

#	<i>Country</i>	<i>Proposals</i>
1	Talat MUSTAFAYEV, AZERBAIJAN	I will make a seminar in AzStandart and present the results
2	Viktoriya KAZIYEVA, GEORGIA	Revealing the results of the seminar to the people in charge/interested
3	Dauren SHARIPOV, KAZAKHSTAN	The information on the workshop will be posted on the website of the institute and published in the Metrology Journal
4	Karligash SATTIBAYEVA, KAZAKHSTAN	Informing the authority and the staff on the goals of the project and possibilities of participation in events that are planned; implementation of this method of thinking (“brain storm”) in the work
5	Marina GAVRILOVICH, MOLDOVA	A miniseminar will be organized with the participation of the interested parties from the Ministry of Economy of Moldova
6	Viktor IVANOV, RUSSIA	We will introduce changes into the website
7	Farfhod SHUKUROV, TAJIKISTAN	The management will receive a report on the participation in the workshop. An article will be published in the journal
8	Ortagoli HAKIMOV, UZBEKISTAN	I will use the methodology used in the workshop in my professional activity
9	Makhmud KAYUMOV, UZBEKISTAN	A report will be drawn up on the participation in the workshop
10	Pavlo NEYEZHMAKOV, UKRAINE	Publication of articles in specific journals. Report at the meeting of the scientific and technical council of the institute
11	Yuliya BUNYAYEVA, UKRAINE	Informing the management of the institute of the results of the workshop at the relevant meetings. Informing the employees of the institute through informational materials (library, publications in the Ukrainian Metrological Journal, website of the institute)

7. Which themes/subjects (a) should be deepened in the next time and should be treated in future with priority?

#	Country	Proposals
1	Vahan SAHAKYAN, ARMENIA	The discussion of the next project could be a subject
2	Narine OGANYAN, ARMENIA	To use when discussing other impending projects
3	Annette KÖGLER, GERMANY	Organisational learning How can NMIs identify themselves with COOMET? Rituals?!
4	Julien BIÈRE, GERMANY	Using the pages and tools provided by the web-portal for every day work, moving step by step towards a web-based workflow
5	Dauren SHARIPOV, KAZAKHSTAN	Additional preparation is needed in future for giving proposals to the plan
6	Karligash SATTIBAYEVA, KAZAKHSTAN	How to improve and strengthen collaboration in training possibilities
7	Farfhod SHUKUROV, TAJIKISTAN	Practical skills training Information Comparisons Exchange of experience
8	Ortagoli HAKIMOV, UZBEKISTAN	Priority subjects for discussing the CIPM MRA
9	Makhmud KAYUMOV, UZBEKISTAN	Experience of other developed countries in the interested fields
10	Pavlo NEYEZHMAKOV, UKRAINE	Structure and content of the web-portal
11	Yuliya BUNYAYEVA, UKRAINE	Improvement of the COOMET web-portal

8. Observations and personal comments

#	Country	Comments
1	Talat MUSTAFAYEV, AZERBAIJAN	Thank you for organizing this workshop
2	Vahan SAHAKYAN, ARMENIA	The workshop based on this methodology to a specific issue results in a highly positive effect
3	Narine OGANYAN, ARMENIA	The methodology of the workshop is not new for me, however its application to a specific issue is useful to me which can be of help in the future
4	V. G. WIPPLINGER, GERMANY	Good serious work Good partnership atmosphere
5	Karligash SATTIBAYEVA, KAZAKHSTAN	Thank you very much for such an important experience, and for your attention to the opinion of everyone of us
6	Marina GAVRILOVICH, MOLDOVA	It was a very instructive, effective and useful workshop. Thank you!
7	Farfhod SHUKUROV, TAJIKISTAN	I find the workshop very useful, informative. I liked the group work; the interests of all the participants were taken into account. The workshop was at high level
8	Ortagoli HAKIMOV, UZBEKISTAN	The workshop was a success. The participants have actively shown their declamatory skills when discussing the first phase of the project
9	Makhmud KAYUMOV, UZBEKISTAN	I hope for further cooperation. Thanks to the organizers
10	Pavlo NEYEZHMAKOV, UKRAINE	The form of such workshops corresponds to their content