

**Summary of comments to Draft COOMET Document D_/201_
“COOMET Web-Portal. General Provisions and Maintenance”**

No.	Country	Proposals	Remarks
1	Armenia	<p>To change the wording in item 1 into “This document specifies the basic provisions, information maintenance and representation on the COOMET web-portal by COOMET structural bodies and member countries”</p> <p>To change the wording in item 5 into “The COOMET web-portal shall be hosted at the decision of the COOMET President’s Council on the server of an independent specialized hosting company assuring the maximum level of safety and efficiency”</p>	<p>Not considered</p> <p>Considered</p>
2	Belarus	<p>1) To our opinion it is better to name the document as “COOMET Web-Portal. Basic Provisions and Maintenance”.</p> <p>2) The document does not reflect the responsibility of online editors when pasting information, in particular the copyright.</p> <p>Section 1. To put it in the following way “This document specifies the basic provisions and maintenance of the COOMET web-portal”.</p> <p>Section 2</p> <p>1) part 3: to add “and COOMET activity issues” after the word “metrology”</p> <p>2) part 4: to put “of a limited access” after the word “level”.</p> <p>3) part 4: it should be clarified if “information on the meetings of the COOMET Committee and President’s Council” is within the protected access area, as neither the COOMET President’s Council nor the COOMET Committee is a structural body according to COOMET Document D5/2003 “Model Regulation on a Structural Body of COOMET”.</p>	<p>Considered</p> <p>Considered</p> <p>Considered with editing</p> <p>Considered</p> <p>Considered</p>

		Section 3. The content of the web-portal sections should be described.	Will be considered in Guide to Editing
		Section 4. It should be specified who will function as a web-portal administrator	Considered
3	Kyrgyzstan	No remarks or proposals	
4	Lithuania	We propose the following item in Section 4 “Web-Portal Administration”: - the web-portal editing is performed according to the Guide to Editing the COOMET Web-Portal (it would be useful to indicate how one can find information on how to edit a page (for COOMET member countries, for new editors of the web-portal)	Considered
5	Moldova	No remarks or proposals	
6	Russia	1. The document does not reflect the main principles and structures of interaction between the parties. 2. The status of the document is not clear. Does it give a general recommendation or is it a COOMET guide on information resources describing its activities? 3. The proposed draft document does not regulate the requirements for the portal as a document handling tool in COOMET (it does not formulate the requirements for the transparency and availability of information exchange, document handling procedure, and for the realization of COOMET document discussion and approval procedures). 4. The document should contain the requirements for the representation of internet resources: their fullness and reliability use of symbols, posting of official documents, etc. The document should contain criteria for deciding if the proposed information resources conform or do not conform to the specified requirements. It should describe the procedure based on which a decision is made that the proposed information resource can be accepted by COOMET for publication in the Internet from the regional organization. Perhaps, this procedure should be accompanied with a review by appropriate experts. 5. The document should be supplemented with an explanatory note as a number of rules it contains need to be grounded	Not accepted Not accepted Not accepted Will be considered in Guide to Editing Not considered